

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,305	07/03/2003	Dwight B. DuBois	CENT:005	8237
29395	7590 04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
H. DALE LANGLEY, JR.			RICCI, JOHN A	
THE LAW FIRM OF H. DALE LANGLEY, JR. PC 610 WEST LYNN AUSTIN, TX 78703			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED, 04/05/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e

ا ا	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. ,	10/614,305	DUBOIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Ricci	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4-8,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-8 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/614,305

Art Unit: 3711

Upon further consideration, the indicated allowability of some claims has been withdrawn.

* * * * * *

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 4 & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaufman 5,934,551.

Kaufman shows a package including a top, first side, bottom, second side, an internal separator 79 which forms internal compartments, and windows 94 for viewing the contents of the compartments. Kaufman does not disclose that this container could be used to collect a vial, but it

Application/Control Number:

10/614,305

Art Unit: 3711

includes all features claimed and could be used for this purpose with no structural change. The separator is broadly considered to "hold" the contents.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Petrelli et al 6,871,778 (newly cited).

Petrelli shows a container formed by folding a single sheet, including a top, first side, bottom, second side, internal separator 26, and retainer 24 within the container.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8, & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petrelli et al in view of Kaufman.

10/614,305

Art Unit: 3711

Petrelli shows a container having a top, bottom, sides, internal separator 26, and retainer 24, but there does not appear to be windows to view the contents of the container. One would recognize that it would be desirable to provide windows in the wall of a container to enable a user to view the contents. For example, Kaufman shows that a container may include an internal separator 79 which forms compartments, and windows 94 to view contents of respective compartments. These windows would be desirable in the container of Petrelli to allow one to view the contents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the container of Petrelli with windows, as suggested by Kaufman.

* * * * * *

Claim 12 is allowed.

* * * * * *

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references show containers with windows.

* * * * * *

Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

This letter was prepared by Examiner John Ricci, who can be reached at:

Voice: 571-272-4429

Fax: Use 571-273-8300 for papers to be delivered directly to the mail room, like formal amendments and responses, change of address, power of attorney, petitions.

Use 703-783-0439 for papers to be delivered directly to the Examiner, like informal or proposed responses for discussion, or notes in preparation for an interview.

Response by Fax is encouraged to reduce mail processing time. Please don't send duplicate papers by mail and Fax.

PTO main switchboard: 800-786-9199.

Visit our Web site at www.uspto.gov.

JOHN RICCI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3711

Joh him